# Complexes of Thiazoles. Part IV.<sup>1</sup> Acetamido-thiazoles as Ambidentate Ligands

By M. N. Hughes • and K. J. Rutt, Department of Chemistry, Queen Elizabeth College, Campden Hill, London **W8 7AH** 

Some complexes of 2-acetamidothiazole and 2-acetamidobenzothiazole with Ni<sup>11</sup> and Cu<sup>11</sup> have been prepared and three types of co-ordination behaviour distinguished on the basis of their i.r. spectra. The organic ligand donor atoms may be (a) carbonyl oxygen and thiazole nitrogen, (b) amide nitrogen and thiazole nitrogen, or (c) carbonyl oxygen, amide nitrogen, and thiazole nitrogen. Types (b) and (c) are of interest as examples of co-ordination via a non-deprotonated amide nitrogen atom.

As part of our work on thiazoles as ligands<sup>1</sup> we have prepared the compounds 2-acetamidothiazole (acam) and 2-acetamidobenzothiazole (acamb) and have ex-

RESULTS

The complexes fall into three groups in terms of the behaviour of the ligand as indicated by a study of their amined their co-ordination behaviour. This was of i.r. spectra (Table 1). Details of electronic spectra,

| Far-infrared spectra (660–222 cm <sup>-1</sup> ) and $\nu$ (C=O) frequencies (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) |               |              |                |            |                                  |  |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Complex  | * v(C=O)      | Ligand modes | ν( <b>M</b> O) | ν(M-N)     | Others                           |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_{2}Cl_{2}$  | 1659s         | 429s, 412sh  | 352s, 315sh    |            | v(Ni-Cl) ca. 230br               |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_2Br_2$  | <b>1675</b> m | 429s, 412sh  | 352s, 314m     |            | <b>、</b>                         |  |
| Ni(acamb) <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub>  | 1658m         | <b>430</b> m | 350s           |            |                                  |  |
| $[Ni(acamb)_2(H_2O)_2]I_2$   | 1661m         | <b>429</b> m | 351s           |            | $\nu$ (Ni–OH <sub>2</sub> ) 463m |  |
| $Ni(acam)_2Cl_2H_2O$   | 1660m         | 355s, 319m   | 392m           | 269s, 233s |                                  |  |
| $[Ni(acam)_2(H_2O)_2]Br_2$   | 1660m         | 355s, 317m   | <b>394</b> m   |            | $\nu$ (Ni–OH <sub>2</sub> ) 463m |  |
| $Ni(acam)_2(NO_3)_2$   | <b>1668</b> m | 355s, 321m   | 392m           | 260m, 226m | /                                |  |
| Cu(acamb) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>   | 1710m         | 429m         |                |            | 292s, 282s                       |  |
| Cu(acam)Br   | 1708m         | 433m         |                |            | 278m, 267m                       |  |
| $Cu(acamb)_2(ClO_4)_2$   | 1653m         | 427s, 415sh  | 351m, 318m     |            | 279m, 245m                       |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2Cl_2$   | 1714m         | 320w         |                | 288s, 247m |                                  |  |
| $Cu(acam)Cl_2$   | 1658m         | 370m, 311w   | 405m           | 278m, 246m | v(Cu-Cl) 331s                    |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2Br_2$   | 1648m         | 368s, 318m   | 408s           | 276s, 245m |                                  |  |
| $Cu(acam)Br_2$   | 1652m         | 370m, 310w   | 405m           | 280m       | v(CuBr) 260s                     |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2(NO_3)_2$   | 1663m         | 374s, 319w   | <b>412</b> m   | 282s       | . ,                              |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2(ClO_4)_2$  | <b>1640</b> m | 377s, 320m   | 410s           | 286s, 249m | 309m                             |  |
|  | * (0 0) 1     |              |                |            |                                  |  |

TABLE 1

\* v(C=0) in a camb = 1704 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a cam = 1708 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## TABLE 2

Magnetic moments, conductances, and electronic spectra

| sugnote momente, conductances, and electronic spectra |                    |                         |   |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Complex   | (Ω <sup>-1</sup> ) | μ <sub>eff</sub> (B.M.) | Absorption maxima (kk)                            |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_2Cl_2$                                     | 18.7 *             | 3.27                    | 25.8s, 24.2sh, 14.5m, ca, 13.0sh, ca, 9.8sh, 8.0m |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_2Br_2$                                     | 14.2 *             | 3.19                    | 25.6s, 23.6sh, 14.4m, ca. 12.8sh, ca. 9.3w, 7.5m  |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_2I_2$                                      | 21.6 *             | 3.06                    | 25.5s, 19.8sh, 14.5m, ca. 12.8sh, 10.3w, 7.3m     |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acamb)_{2}I_{2}, 2H_{2}O$                         | 139 *              |                         | ca. 26.6sh, 15.5m, ca. 14.0sh, 9.6m               |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acam)_2 Cl_2, H_2O$                               | 74.1               | 3.16                    | ca. 26.5sh, 16.5m, 10.5m, ca. 8.9sh               |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acam)_2Br_2, 2H_2O$                               | 75.8               | 3.08                    | 16.6m, 10.7m, ca. 9.4sh                           |  |  |  |
| $Ni(acam)_2(NO_3)_2$                                  | 76.3               | 2.87                    | ca. 26.5sh, 16.2m, 10.4m, ca. 8.1sh               |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acamb)_2Cl_2$                                     | 11.1 *             | 1.86                    | 13.0s,br  |  |  |  |
| Cu(acamb)Br   | 47.6 *             | Diamagnetic             |   |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acamb)_2(ClO_4)_2$                                | 14.8 *             | 1.83                    |   |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2Cl_2$                                      | 22.3               | 1.90                    | ca. 24.0sh, 14.6m                                 |  |  |  |
| Cu(acam)Cl <sub>2</sub>                               | 16.3               | 1.84                    | 24.1s, br, 9.4s, br                               |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2Br_2$                                      | 46.9               | 1.92                    | ca. 26.0sh, 14.7m, ca. 10.8sh                     |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acam)Br_2$  | 14.4               | 1.87                    | 18.9s, 16.7s, 9.5s                                |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2(NO_3)_2$                                  | 72.3               | 1.94                    | 15·2s   |  |  |  |
| $Cu(acam)_2(ClO_4)_2$                                 | 76.4               | 1.91                    | 15·9s   |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                         |   |  |  |  |

Conductances in Me<sub>2</sub>SO (1:1 = 40  $\Omega^{-1}$ , 1:2 = 80  $\Omega^{-1}$ ) or nitromethane \* (1:1 = 80  $\Omega^{-1}$ , 1:2 = 130  $\Omega^{-1}$ ).

interest partly because of their close similarity to the anti-parasitic compound<sup>2</sup> Aminotrozal (2-acetamido-5nitrothiazole). We now report a study of the complexes of these ligands with nickel(II) and copper(II) halides. nitrates, and perchlorates. A number of different types of ligand behaviour have been observed.

<sup>1</sup> Part III, M. N. Hughes and K. J. Rutt, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 3015.

magnetic moments, and molar conductances are given in Table 2.

The co-ordination behaviour of the ligands may be related to that of amides and peptides, although one familiar form of co-ordination in peptides, involving deprotonated amide nitrogen atoms is clearly not

<sup>2</sup> E. F. Elslager, Reviews in Ann. Rep. Medicin. Chem., 1965, 1966.

involved in our complexes. We must, however, consider the possibility that the ligand is co-ordinated in the enol form.

The free ligand exists in the keto-form  $[\nu_{O=O} \text{ at } 1708 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for acam and at } 1704 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for acamb, } \nu(N-H) \text{ at } 3240 \text{ and } 3237 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ respectively}]. On complex formation the spectrum is largely unaffected apart from shifts in certain bands and intensity changes which can be rationalised in terms of co-ordination. These changes occur in regions associated with the <math display="inline">\nu_{O=O}$  and  $\nu_{N-H}$  bands of the free ligand.

Type a. We include here the complexes of acam and acamb with Ni<sup>II</sup> halides and copper bromide and a complex of acam with copper chloride, of stoicheiometry  $Ni(acamb)_2X_2$  (X = Cl, Br, or I),  $Ni(acamb)_2I_2, 2H_2O$ ,  $Ni(acam)_2Cl_2, H_2O, Ni(acam)_2Br_2, H_2O, Cu(acam)_2Br_2, and Cu(acam)X_2$  (X = Cl or Br). The magnetic moments and electronic spectra of the 1:2 complexes are characteristic of distorted octahedral structures. Conductance data suggest that the aquo-complexes in nitromethane or dimethyl sulphoxide solution have unco-ordinated anions, while in the solid state the i.r. spectra indicate that the water molecules are probably co-ordinated. The conductance of Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> is fairly high but we attribute this to dissociation since the solution spectrum indicates considerable decomposition of the complex. The remainder of the complexes were non-electrolytes in nitromethane or dimethyl sulphoxide.

The electronic spectrum of  $Cu(acam)Cl_2$  is consistent with a tetrahedral geometry, whereas the corresponding bromo-complex has a more complicated spectrum which we are unable to account for by any single structure. This spectrum shows strong bands at 18.9, 16.7, and 9.5 kK which might be due to a mixture of planar and tetrahedral species; however, adjustments to the conditions of preparation of  $Cu(acam)Br_2$  did not alter the intensities of the bands in its electronic spectrum. The far-i.r. spectra of the chloro- and bromo-complexes each show only one metal-halogen stretching frequency (331 cm<sup>-1</sup> in chloro, 260 cm<sup>-1</sup> in bromo) instead of the doublet expected for a tetrahedral species and multiplet for a mixture.

In the i.r. spectra of all the complexes in this group the  $\nu$ (C=O) mode of the ligand was shifted *ca*. 30 cm<sup>-1</sup> to lower frequencies, suggesting <sup>3</sup> that co-ordination occurs *via* the carbonyl oxygen. We discount the possibility that this band is the ' $\nu$ (C=N) ' band of the enol form as there is no change in the  $\nu_{X-H}$  region. The complexes all show bands at *ca*. 350 cm<sup>-1</sup> (acamb) or *ca*. 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (acam) in their far-i.r. spectra which we assign <sup>4</sup> as  $\nu$ (M-O) (carbonyl). The diaquo-complexes have an additional band in their far-i.r. spectra at 463 cm<sup>-1</sup> for which a tentative assignment <sup>5</sup> of  $\nu$ (Ni-OH<sub>2</sub>) is made. In the case of acam complexes, bands were observed at *ca*. 260 and 230 cm<sup>-1</sup> which are probably <sup>1</sup>  $\nu$ (M-N)

(thiazole) modes, the corresponding bands for acamb complexes being expected <sup>6</sup> below the limit of our instrument (222 cm<sup>-1</sup>). We conclude that the acetamido-thiazoles act as bidentate ligands, chelating via the carbonyl oxygen and thiazole nitrogen atoms. There is no evidence for co-ordination of the thiazole sulphur atom in these complexes and in other cases <sup>1</sup> thiazoles have been found to co-ordinate preferentially via the nitrogen atom.

Attempts were made to dehydrate Ni(acam)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,H<sub>2</sub>O and Ni(acam)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>,2H<sub>2</sub>O by heating them *in vacuo*, whereupon it was found that the compounds changed colour from blue to green. However neither consistent weight losses were obtained nor reproducible analyses for the products. The analytical figures suggest that the effect of heating is more complicated than the elimination of water.

Another compound which we include here is Cu(acamb)Br, which is rather different from the others. We were unable to prepare consistently a pure compound from the  $CuBr_2$ -acamb system and obtained a white product analysing only approximately as Cu(acamb)Br. This diamagnetic species shows no absorptions in its electronic spectrum between 27-5 kK and appears to contain  $Cu^{T}$ .

This reduction takes place in the presence of an excess of acamb, but we have not isolated the oxidised species. The v(C=O) mode in the i.r. spectrum of this complex is not shifted to lower frequency, and no v(Cu-O) modes could be identified in the far-i.r. region. It appears that the carbonyl oxygen is not co-ordinated. Since Cu<sup>I</sup> is fairly ' soft ' it is possible that it complexes with the thiazole sulphur atom in this case.

Type b. Cupric chloride with acetone solutions of  $T_{ype}$ acamb gave the compound Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, acetone. The acetone is readily removed by heating to 100° for a few minutes and the properties of the desolvated complex are very similar to the original compound. The ligand acam gave the compound Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with cupric chloride. Both of these complexes are non-electrolytes in nitromethane or Me<sub>2</sub>SO. Their electronic spectra are very similar and support their formulation as octahedral Cu<sup>II</sup> complexes. These complexes differ from the others in that the v(C=O) mode in the i.r. spectrum is not shifted down from the free ligand, but by  $ca. 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  to higher frequencies. This strongly suggests that the carbonyl oxygen is not co-ordinated, corroborative evidence being provided by the far-i.r. spectra which show no bands assignable as  $\nu(Cu-O)$  modes. Again the i.r. spectra eliminate the possibility of the ligand being in the enol form. We have assigned  $\nu(Cu-N)$  (thiazole) at 288 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the far-i.r. spectrum of Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Another feature of the i.r. spectra is the ca. 10-fold enhanced intensity of the  $\nu$ (N-H) mode at 3240 cm<sup>-1</sup> which implicates the amide nitrogen in co-ordination. We suggest that the structures involve the organic ligand

<sup>\*</sup> E. W. Randall, C. M. Silcox Yoder, and J. J. Zuckerman, Inorg. Chem., 1966, 5, 2240.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> J. Fujita, A. É. Martell, and K. Nakamoto, J. Chem. Phys., 1962, 36, 324.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> G. Blyholder and S. Vergez, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1963, 61, 2149. <sup>6</sup> E. J. Duff, M. N. Hughes, and K. J. Rutt, *J. Chem. Soc.* (A), 1969, 2101.

bridging Cu atoms via thiazole and amide nitrogen atoms, with chloride ions in axial positions.

Type c. The metal complexes involving oxy-anions appear to be structurally similar. The compounds prepared were  $Ni(acam)_2(NO_3)_2$ ,  $Cu(acam)_2X_2$  (X =  $NO_3$  or  $ClO_4$ ) and  $Cu(acamb)_2(ClO_4)_2$ . Their electronic spectra and magnetic moments are consistent with their formulation as octahedral complexes. The oxy-anions are not co-ordinated, as shown by i.r. and conductance data. The i.r. and far-i.r. spectra of the compounds show that the carbonyl oxygen of the ligand is coordinated, while bands assignable 1 as  $\nu(M-N)$  (thiazole) are observed for acam complexes. In addition however the ligand v(N-H) band at 3240 cm<sup>-1</sup> shows a much greater intensity in the spectra of the complexes. Again we suggest that its enhanced intensity is due to coordination of the amide nitrogen atom. The resulting structure is then one in which the ligand is chelating via carbonyl oxygen and thiazole nitrogen, with the amide nitrogen atom linking the unit to an adjacent metal atom. The i.r. evidence in this case is not so clear cut, but in view of the way in which it fits into the pattern shown by type (a) and (b) complexes it is probable that our assignments are correct.

### DISCUSSION

The complexes appear to fall into three well-defined categories. The first group has the intuitively expected structure where the ligand chelates *via* the thiazole nitrogen and carbonyl oxygen to form a six-membered ring. Those complexes having oxy-anions are ionic and have terdentate ligands, the third donor atom being the amide nitrogen. Thus it seems that the amide nitrogen is a better donor than the oxy-anions, but poorer than water or halide. The cupric chloride complexes are of interest; there is no ready explanation of why the amide nitrogen appears to co-ordinate in preference to the oxygen atom and the stable chelate ring is not formed.

There have been a number of studies on complexes of peptides, amides, and substituted amides with a range of metal ions. In all cases where crystal structures have been determined <sup>7</sup> the amide nitrogen is co-ordinated only if deprotonated, while many other papers <sup>8</sup> cite instances in which a deprotonated amide nitrogen is co-ordinated. In this system the amide nitrogen atom apparently co-ordinates quite readily without deprotonation and in two cases does so at the expense of the carbonyl oxygen.

### EXPERIMENTAL

Physical measurements were made as described previously.<sup>1</sup>

Preparations.—(Calculated C, H, and N analyses are shown in parentheses after the analytical figures for each complex.) 2-Acetamidothiazole was prepared by warming 2-aminothiazole (Koch-Light) with an excess of acetic anhydride and pouring the mixture into water. The solid formed was filtered off, washed well with water, and then recrystallised twice from acetone and dried *in vacuo*, m.p. 203° (lit. 203°) [Found: C, 42·4 (42·3); H, 4·43 (4·23); N, 19·7% (19·7)].

2-Acetamidobenzothiazole was prepared as above from 2-aminobenzothiazole; it had m.p.  $186^{\circ}$  (lit.,  $186^{\circ}$ ) [Found: C,  $56\cdot1$  ( $56\cdot2$ ); H,  $4\cdot33$  ( $4\cdot19$ ); N,  $14\cdot6^{\circ}$ % ( $14\cdot6$ )].

Ni(acamb)<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> (X = Cl or Br) and Ni(acamb)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>,2H<sub>2</sub>O.— A solution of the appropriate hydrated nickel salt (5 mmol) in the minimum volume of ethanol (acetone for NiI<sub>2</sub>) was added to an acetone solution of acamb (10 mmol); the mixture was warmed and stirred for 1 h. The green solids which deposited were filtered off and washed with acetone and light petroleum. Ni(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 42·0; (42·0); H, 3·2 (3·1); N, 10·5% (10·9)], Ni(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 35·6 (35·8); H, 2·7 (2·65); N, 9·0% (9·28)], Ni(acamb)<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>,2H<sub>2</sub>O [Found: C, 29·3 (29·5); H, 2·3 (2·2); N, 7·6% (7·65)].

 $Ni(acamb)_{2}I_{2}$ .—This compound was prepared as above except that the mixture was refluxed vigorously for 1 h [Found: C, 31.1 (31.0); H, 2.3 (2.3); N, 8.03% (8.05)].

Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, acetone, Cu(acamb)Br, Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,  $Cu(acam)_2X_2$  (X = Cl, Br, NO<sub>3</sub>, or ClO<sub>4</sub>).—A minimum volume solution of the appropriate hydrated metal salt (5 mmol) in ethanol was added to an acetone solution of the ligand (10 mmol) and the mixture was stirred for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h. The precipitates formed were filtered off and washed with acetone and light petroleum. Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, acetone [Found: C, 43·3 (43·7); H, 3·6 (3·85); N, 10·21% (9·7)], Cu(acamb)Br [Found: C, 32.1 (32.2); H, 2.45 (2.4); N, 8.4% (8.35)], Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 33.2 (33.8); H, 2.55 (2.5); N, 8.7% (8.7)], Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 28.7 (28.7); H, 2.5 (2.85); N, 13.4% (13.4)],  $Cu(acam)_2Br_2$ [Found: C, 23.8 (23.6); H, 2.55 (2.35); N, 11.0% (11.0)], Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 25.5 (25.5); H, 2.55 (2.55); N, 17.6% (17.8)], Cu(acam)<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [Found: C, 22.3 (22.0); H, 2.35(2.2); N, 10.2%(10.2)].

Cu(acamb)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.—The acetone adduct, prepared as above, was heated at 100° for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h [Found: C, 41.5 (41.6); H, 3.0 (3.1); N, 10.6% (10.8)].

Cu(acam)Cl<sub>2</sub>.—An acetone solution of acam (5 mmol) was added to a solution of the metal salt (50 mmol) in ethanol (minimum). The mixture was refluxed for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h, cooled, and filtered. The product was washed with ethanol and light petroleum [Found: C, 21.7 (21.7); H, 2.25 (2.25); N, 10.0% (10.1).

Cu(acam)Br<sub>2</sub>.—A solution of CuBr<sub>2</sub> (5 mmol) in ethanol was added to an acetone solution of the ligand and the suspension refluxed for 1 h. The product was filtered off and washed with ethanol and light petroleum [Found: C, 16.5 (16.4); H, 1.6 (1.35); N, 7.55% (7.66)].

#### [1/1579 Received, 18th September, 1971]

7 H. C. Freeman, Adv. Protein Chem., 1968, 257.

<sup>8</sup> E.g. H. A. O. Hill and K. A. Raspin, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 3036; R. B. Martin, M. Chamberlin, and J. T. Edsall, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 495; M. F. Kim and A. E. Martell, *ibid.*, 1966, 89, 914; W. L. Koltun, M. Fried, and F. R. N. Gurd, *ibid.*, 1960, 82, 233.